

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/001,937 | RALPH ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Anu Ramana | 3733 | |

All Participants:

(1) Daniel D. Ryan.

(2) Anu Ramana.

Date of Interview: 3 August 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: Under Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:30 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner contacted Applicants' Representative, Daniel D. Ryan, to discuss a minor amendment to claim 17 to clarify claim language. See attached Examiner's Amendment for details.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



EDUARDO C. ROBERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)